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#### Abstract

CoRoT is a pioneer space mission to detect stellar oscillations and transiting exoplanets. Large stellar fields are observed in runs up to 120 days to look for transit-signals. We present a list of detected transiting planetary candidates as well as eclipsing binaries as a by-product in the constellation Monoceros. 8190 targets were observed in the run SRa01 in March 2008 and 10300 targets in SRa02 in October 2008. 381 transiting objects were identified in both observation runs by different detection teams. Interesting candidates were additionally investigated with photometric- and radial velocity-observations. We present 11 possible planetary candidates in the constellation Monoceros in the short run SRa01 with one Saturn-like object still to be investigated and 19 possible candidates in the short run SRa02, one of them confirmed as a brown dwarf.
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## 1 Introduction

The CoRoT space mission is devoted to the observation of stellar variability and oscillations and to detect transiting extrasolar planets down to several Earth masses (Baglin et al. 2006). The mission has been extented until March 2013 due to its success. CoRoT has been observing more than hundred thousand targets in the galactic center and anti-center direction since the beginning of the mission. Its field of view is $2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$ in a zone of ten degrees diameter. SRa01 and SRa02 were the first two short runs of the mission, which lasted around 25 days. Both runs described in this paper have been observed in the constellation Monoceros. For brighter stars color information is available, targets fainter than approximately 15 magnitudes are measured in white light only. These light curves have a cadence of

512 seconds, interesting targets have a shorter cadence of 32 seconds to ensure a precise transit timing. In the first stages of the data processing pipeline, invalid datapoints caused by cosmic ray hits, obvious hot pixels and other alterations caused by the crossing of the CoRoT satellite through the Southern Atlantic Anomaly are flagged.

The data from SRa01 and SRa02 have been released to the public in March 2010 and May 2010 respectively, and are available through the Exo-Dat archive ${ }^{1}$ (Deleuil et al. 2009). In this paper we present 11 possible planetary candidates for the short run SRa01 and 19 candidates for SRa02 as well as a list of eclipsing binaries (EB) for both.

The description of the detection process and the list of planetary candidates with their most important properties is given in Sect. 3. We will give an overview from the photometric and radial-velocity follow-up for each candidate in Sect. 4 and a detailed discussion and analysis in Sect. 5 .

The primary target of SRa01 was the young ( $\sim$ 3 Myr ) open cluster NGC 2264 (Sung et al. 2004), which is the richest open cluster observable by CoRoT. The main additional science goals of the observations were to study rotation and activity (Favata et al. 2010), accretion processes (Alencar et al. 2010; Flaccomio et al. 2010) and pre-main sequence pulsations (Zwintz et al. 2011), and to search for eclipsing binaries in order to calibrate stellar evolution models.

This paper reports on the search for planetary transits and eclipsing binaries, but covers only the follow-up of the candidate transits. A more detailed analysis of a subset of the eclipsing binaries, which were suspected members of NGC 2264, will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

## 2 CoRoT observations

CoRoT observations of the SRa01 field started on March $3^{\text {rd }} 2008$ and lasted 24 days. The corners of the exoplanet field were located at: $6^{h} 44^{m} 57^{s} 10^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$, $6^{h} 50^{m} 15^{s} 10^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}, 6^{h} 50^{m} 20^{s} 7^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime \prime}, 6^{h} 44^{m} 57^{s}$ $7^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, the entire cluster was placed on CCD E1 (one of the two exoplanet CCDs). During that period, 8190 stars were observed in the exoplanet channel, approximately 1700 of which were previously known or candidate members of NGC 2264. Almost all the stars fall within the usual magnitude range $11<R<16$ for the CoRoT exoplanet channel. A handful of premain sequence $B$ stars belonging to NGC 2264 , with

[^0]$9.2<R<11$, were observed despite being saturated, in order to search for pulsations (see Zwintz et al. 2011).

CoRoT observations of the SRa02 field started on October $11^{\text {rd }} 2008$ and lasted 32 days. The corners of the exoplanet field were located at: $18^{h} 30^{m} 20^{s}$ $7^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}, 18^{h} 31^{m} 08^{s} 7^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}, 18^{h} 39^{m} 20^{s} 5^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$, $18^{h} 34^{m} 11^{s} 4^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$. During that period, 10305 stars with magnitudes $11<R<16$ were observed in the exoplanet channel. The planetary nebula PN G204.8-03.5 has been observed as the target E2_2404 and members of the open cluster NGC 2236 in the field of the CCD E1. There are other sources that had been observed like E1_2883, which is identical with the infrared source IRAS $06269+0625$ or E2_4632 that points to the radio source $4 \mathrm{C}+04: 23$.

The light curves were produced using the CoRoT pipeline (Auvergne et al. 2009) and are publically available from the CoRoT archive at IAS ${ }^{2}$.

The noise statistics of both short runs are pretty consistent with the first run IRa01 (Aigrain et al. 2009). The RMS scatter of the SRa01 light curves is somewhat larger, at a given magnitude, than for other CoRoT short runs (see Fig. 1). This is partially due to the fact that a significant fraction of the target stars are young and thus active and/or accreting. The field is also closer to the edge of the CoRoT continuous viewing zone in the Galactic anticentre, and consequently suffers from slightly increased jitter and scattered light contamination.

## 3 Transit candidates

### 3.1 Transit and eclipse detection

During most CoRoT observations, a near real-time analysis (known as the 'alarm mode') is performed during the run on partially calibrated data, in order to identify potentially interesting events and trigger oversampled observations for the corresponding targets (32s rather than the usual 512 s sampling). The majority of the highly significant transit-like events are usually detected at this early stage.

For SRa01, no alarm mode analysis was performed. Therefore all the candidates were identified from the fully calibrated, complete light curves. A total of 6 teams (based at DLR, ESTEC, Exeter, IAS, Köln and LUTH) searched the light curves for transit-like events, using a range of detrending and transit detection algorithms, and assigned a preliminary ranking to each candidate: priority 1,2 and 3 for possible planetary

[^1]

Fig. 1 The relative RMS as a function of median flux in the light curve for both runs, with the source photon noise as the red dashed line.
transits, and ' $B$ ' for clearly stellar EBs. The candidate lists produced by individual teams were merged automatically, resulting in a total of 163 events.

For SRa02, 5 candidates were identified from the alarm mode analysis. Further transit searches were run on the fully calibrated, complete light curves by 3 teams (based at DLR, ESTEC and Köln), resulting in a total of 218 candidate transits and likely EBs, with associated preliminary rankings. Additional candidates came from the SARS algorithm by Ofir et al. (2010), which allows to search for even fainter transits.

### 3.2 Preliminary sifting of the candidates

Among the scenarios which, to first order, can mimic planetary transits, a large fraction can be identified from a detailed analysis of high-precision transit light curves only, before any follow-up is performed. A description of this process has been given in previous CoRoT run summary papers (Carpano et al. 2009, Carone et al. submitted), but we have recently adopted a more systematic approach, using automatically produced diagnostic plots and transit fits to assess the candidates. We therefore give a brief description of this procedure below.

Each candidate that was identified as a possible planet (rather than a definite EB) by at least one team was assessed individually, starting with visual examination of the individual transit-like events and of the phase-folded light curve. A number of candidates were identified as likely artefacts at this stage, caused by discontinuities in the light curves ${ }^{3}$. Transit-like events

[^2]with depths exceeding $5 \%$ were automatically discarded as likely binaries. Events with depths between 2 and $5 \%$ are relatively unlikely to be of planetary origin, but a number of these, with precise light curves compatible with low impact parameter transits, were retained nonetheless: if the companion is a low-mass star or brown dwarf, it is relatively straight forward to identify from a small number of follow-up observations, and scientifically interesting in its own right.

For the remaining candidates, the transit-like events were modelled in the white light curves using the (Mandel and Agol 2002) formalism. The fits were optimized using the MPFIT implementation of the LevenbergMarkwart algorithm in Python. The parameters of the fits were the period $P$, the time of transit centre $T_{0}$, the planet-to-star radius ratio $R_{\mathrm{p}} / R_{\star}$, the impact parameter $b$ and the system scale $a / R_{\star}$. We used a quadratic limb-darkening model with (fixed) parameters $u_{a}=0.44$ and $u_{b}=0.23$, which are suitable for a $0.9 M_{\odot}$ main-sequence star in the CoRoT bandpass (Sing 2010). We used both the formal errors of the fit and residual shuffling (the 'rosary bead' method) to estimate the uncertainties in the fitted parameters (the two methods usually give near-identical results, the larger of the two uncertainties was adopted). The baseline level around each transit was modelled using a localised second-order polynomial fit, which accounts for long-term trends and stellar variability. We used an iterative process, alternately refining the transit shape on the folded light curve, then fitting the times of individual transits to refine the ephemeris, until convergence

[^3] to discontinuities in the light curves.


Fig. 2 Phase-folded light curves of the transit candidates for run SRa01, showing the best-fit transit model (red) and residuals in each case. The phased light curves have been binned to 20 points per transit duration for clarity.
was reached. Odd- and even-numbered transits were then fitted separately, varying the transit depth only, to test for signs of binarity. We also fit the blue, green and red light curves (when available), again varying the transit depth only. Finally, we performed a search for secondary eclipses with the same duration as the bestfit transit model, and checked for ellipsoidal variability by fitting the phase-folded out-of-transit light curves using a sum of sines and cosines at the transit frequency and its first harmonic.

The detection of secondary eclipses or differences in depth between odd- and even-numbered transits, or strong $(>\times 2)$ differences in depth between the three colour channels, precludes a planetary origin for the transit, as does a transit shape and duration implying a stellar radius $>3 R_{\odot}$, or a transit depth $>5 \%$. Candidates were thus discarded as grazing or diluted eclipsing binaries (EBs) if these effects were detected at the $\geq 5 \sigma$ level. The shape of the transits, as well as weaker detections of these effects or of ellipsoidal variations were used to rank the remaining candidates, but were not considered to exclude a possible planetary origin.

### 3.3 Final candidate and EB lists

12 transit candidates from run SRa01, and 19 from run SRa02, pass the tests described in the previous section. They are listed in Tables 1 and 2 respectively, and their
light curves (along with the best-fit transit model) are shown in Figures 2 and 3. Since the final candidate list was drawn up, further refinements to the light curve modelling have led us to consider two of the priority 3 candidates in SRa01 as closed: E1_2618 is very likely to be a false alarm (caused by discontinuities in the light curve), whilst a re-analysis of the light curve of E2_2822 detected a secondary eclipse and ellipsoidal variation (both at the $5 \sigma$ level), so it is likely to be a diluted EB.

Tables 3 and 4 lists the EBs identified as a side product of the transit detection and sifting process. These lists contain all detectable, well-detached systems, but are incomplete for near-contact or over-contact systems. Note that these tables merely report the output of the transit search process: no attempt has been made to check that the period identified is indeed the orbital period, to account for contamination of the aperture or to refine the eclipse depths.

## 4 Follow-up observations

Ground-based follow-up observations are necessary to confirm the planetary nature of transit candidates, since a wide range of astrophysical scenarios involving two or more stars can mimic a planetary transit. A general description of the scenarios that can mimic a planetary transit, and of the follow-up strategies used


Fig. 3 Phase-folded light curves of the transit candidates for run SRa02. Same legend as Figure 2.
to discard them, is given in (Bouchy et al. 2009). The follow-up observation strategy for CoRoT candidates, first described in (Moutou et al. 2009), consists primarily of a) photometric observations in- and out-of-transit, with a larger telescope (typically 1 m ), to test whether the object being eclipsed is the brightest star in the photometric aperture or a fainter star with a deeper eclipse (a 'blend'), and b) radial velocity (RV) observations to determine the companion mass and rejected undiluted binaries.

The follow-up prioritization (reported in Table 1) was based on the prioritisation emerging from the detection process, but also incorporated how factors which make precise RV determination more or less difficult, including the brightness of the star and its rotation period (where it could be determined from a LombScargle periodogram analysis of the out-of-transit light curve). Pressure on the telescope time available implied that only priority 1 and 2 candidates were actually observed.

### 4.1 Photometric follow-up

The photometric follow-up program, its motivation and its techniques are described in more detail in (Deeg et al. 2009). For the runs described here, most of the observations have been made with the following telescopes: the 1 m at the Wise observatory in Israel, the 80 cm telescope of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC) and the 3.6 m CFHT in Hawaii. The short duration of the SRa01 and SRa02 runs did not enable a very accurate determination of the period of the candidate transits. In consequence, after the end of the CoRoT observations, a rapid ground-based follow-up is required before timing errors become larger than a few hours, when such follow-up becomes unfeasable.

The follow-up of SRa01 candidates could however not start until the winter 2008/2009, as CoRoT observed this field in spring 2008 at the end of its visibility, and at the time the CoRoT data were processed and searched for transits it was no longer observable. In consequence, only four candidates were observed; with some of them with ambigous results due to uncertainties in the time of the transit events. In SRa02, the photometric follow-up could start shortly after the CoRoT-data were acquired; and a total of eight SRa02 condidates were observed.

### 4.2 Spectroscopy

Radial velocity (RV) observations of short run SRa01 and SRa02 were performed with the SOPHIE spectrograph (Perruchot et al. 2008; Bouchy et al. 2009)
mounted at the $1.93-\mathrm{m}$ Haute Provence Observatory (OHP) telescope (France) and with the HARPS spectrograph (Mayor et al. 2003) mounted at the $3.6-\mathrm{m}$ ESO telescope (Chile) as part of the ESO large program 184.C-0639. SOPHIE and HARPS were both used with the observing mode obj_AB, without simultanenous thorium in order to monitor the Moon background light on the second fiber. The exposure time was usually set from 0.5 to 1 hour. We reduced SOPHIE and HARPS data and computed RVs with a pipeline based on cross-correlation techniques (Baranne et al. 1996; Pepe et al. 2002).

Given the weak ephemeris constraints and the absence of photometric follow-up for SRa01, only candidates with magnitude $R<15$, where direct radial velocity follow-up would not be excessively costly, were observed in RV for this run, using the equivalent of 9 hours of telescope time on SOPHIE and 7 hours on HARPS were used to follow-up SRa01 candidates. The equivalent of 17 hours on SOPHIE and 15 hours on HARPS were used to follow-up SRa02 candiates.

## 5 Details of individual candidates

### 5.1 SRa01 candidates

SRa01_E1_0770: This candidate shows $0.4 \%$-deep, Ushaped transits lasting 3.4 hours with a period of 6.7 days (see Figure 2). Marginal differences between the transit depths measured in the red and blue channels may indicate a crowded aperture, but do not exclude a grey transit on the main target. All other indicators being compatible with a planetary transit, this candidate was classified as priority 1 by the detection team, and put forward for follow-up with priority 1. The light curve also shows clear quasi-periodic modulation with a period of 10.4 days and a semi-amplitude of about $1 \%$ (see Figure 5), which we attribute to rotational modulation of star spots.

Six observations were conducted with SOPHIE between December 2008 and Februray 2009. The derived RVs show no significant variations at the level of the uncertainties, which are $\sim 35 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. One Keck spectrum was acquired on the $5^{\text {th }}$ of December 2009 and the spectral analysis indicates a G2... G5 dwarf with $T_{\text {eff }}=5860_{-180}^{+100} K$ and $\log g=4.2 \pm 0.20$. We also observed this candidate with HARPS in January and February 2010, obtaining seven RV measurements with uncertainties in the range $10 \ldots 20 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at a signal-tonoise ratio of 190 at 5870 A, shown in Figure 4. These data are compatible with low-amplitude RV variation of $v \sin i=5.0 \pm 1.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in phase with the transits: the


Fig. 4 (Top) HARPS RVs of SRa01_E1_0770, showing a hint of variation in phase with the transit ephemeris (solid curve) as well as with the stellar rotation period (dotted curve). (Bottom) Bisector span versus RV, showing an anticorrelation indicating that the RV variations are at least partially due to the stellar activity.
best sinusoidal fit to the RVs then has a semi-amplitude of $K=28 \pm 10 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, an equally valid solution with similar amplitude is found at the stellar rotational period. The RVs appear to be anti-correlated with the line bisector span (see Figure 4, bottom panel), which suggests that at least some of the observed RV variation is due stellar activity. This fact is emphasized by a small emission feature in the CaIIK-line and variations in $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$. With our present data, we can exclude undiluted companions with a mass $>0.6 M_{\mathrm{J}}$, but we cannot firmly establish the nature of the transiting object. Assuming a Sun-like star with $1.057 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, a companion would have to have a radius of $\sim 0.6 R_{\mathrm{J}}$ to cause transits with a depth of $0.4 \%$. If its density was similar to Jupiter's, it would have a mass of $\sim 0.2 M_{\mathrm{J}}$, and induce RV variations in its host star with a semiamplitude of $\sim 20 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. A planetary scenario is thus still possible and supported by the Li I line feature, but additional RV measurements with higher precision and a good coverage of the stellar rotational cycle will be necessary to establish the true nature of this candidate. However, photometric follow-up is needed to confirm that the star being eclipsed is the main target, rather than a fainter background star, before an intensive RV campaign can be launched.

ON-OFF photometry was obtained on this candidate with the CFHT on 20 Nov 2009, leading to a tentative detection of an $0.3 \%$ deep transit on the target. The target is a well-isolated star; the closest neighbor visible in the CFHT imagery is a 3.1 mag fainter star 26 arcsec SW that does not generate any measurable contamination in the Corot aperture mask.

SRa01_E2_2379: This candidate shows $2.2 \%$-deep Ushaped transits lasting 3.8 hours with a period of 3.6 days. The host star is and quite blue $(B-V=-0.1$, $J-K=0.0$ ), indicating a moderately early-type field object confirming the suspicion from the broadband colours and transit duration. The light curve shows tentative signs of binarity (marginal depth differences between odd- and even-numbered transits, marginal detection of a secondary eclipse), which led to a priority 2 classification by the detection group. However, given the relative scarcity of high-priority candidates bright enough for spectroscopic follow-up in this run, this candidate was given priority 1 for follow-up observations. The determination of the $P_{\text {rot }}$ of the host star is problematic as the detection of a modulation at $9.7 \pm 1.2$ days is very tentative. A shorter rotation period can be excluded.

A single HARPS exposure of this object was obtained in November 2009. Not peak was detected in the cross-correlation function (CCF), indicating an early
type and/or very rapidly rotating star. Observations of this objects were therefore discontinued.

A transit on SRa01_E2_2379 was clearly seen on the target with a depth of $2 \%$ in CFHT follow-up observations on 19 Feb 2009.

SRa01_E1_2217: This candidate shows 2.7\%-deep Vshaped transits lasting 2.8 hours with a period of 2.7 days. Together with the transit shape, marginal depth differences between odd- and even-numbered transits led to a priority 2 classification by the detection group, and the same priority was adopted for the follow-up.

E1_2217 was observed with SOPHIE in January 2009, the resulting RVs are shown in Figure 6. Although the uncertainties of the semi-amplitude are relatively large $\left(\sim 150 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}\right)$, the RV variations are not in phase with the CoRoT ephemeris. The amplitude of the variation is also dependent on the choice of template used to compute the CCF (results for F0, G2 and G5 templates are shown). This is the signature of a spectroscopic blend due to a diluted EB within the 3 arcsec acceptance diameter of the SOPHIE fibre, a result that was supported from photometric observations with the 3.5 m WIYN telescope in January 2010.

SRa01_E2_1707: This candidate shows 0.4\%-deep Ushaped transits lasting 2.3 hours with a period of 2.4 days. The transit shape could not be determined conclusively from the relatively noisy light curve. Marginal depth differences between odd- and evennumbered transits led to a priority 2 classification by the detection group, and the same priority was adopted for the follow-up.

Three HARPS observations that were performed on 13, 14 and 15 th February 2010 of this candidate, the resulting RVs are shown in Figure 7. No signification variation is detected the level of $28 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Assuming a Sun-like star, a companion would have to have a radius of $\sim 0.7 R_{\mathrm{J}}$ to cause transits with a depth of $0.4 \%$. If its density was similar to that of Jupiter, it would have a mass similar to that Saturn, and induce RV variations in its host star with a semi-amplitude of $\sim 40 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Such a signal is excluded by the HARPS measurements. The remaining possibilities are thus a transiting sub-Saturn mass companion, or a blend with a close contaminant. The tentative detection of differences in depth between the odd- and even-numbered transits supports the latter scenario. The solar-like host-star has an effective temperature of $5600_{-80}^{+120} \mathrm{~K}$ and a $\log g$ of $4.05_{-0.30}^{+0.15}$.

No preparatory or follow-up imagery of this target exists; an uncatalogued 19 mag star 6 " NW can be recognized in the POSS, which could be a potential source of a false positive.


Fig. 5 Full light CoRoT curve for SRa01_E1_0770 (top, linear trend subtracted), and corresponding Lomb-Scargle periodogram (bottom), showing the clear detection of rotational modulation with a period of 10.4 days. The periodogram was computed after excluding the in-transit sections of the light curve (shown in blue in the top panel). In the bottom panel, the vertical solid red lines indicate the 5 most significant peaks in the periodogram, the vertical dashed green line indicates the period of the transits.


Fig. 6 Phase-folded RVs of SRa01_E1_2217 obtained with SOPHIE, computed with the F0 (open squares), G2 (dark circles) and K5 (open triangles) template respectively, indicating a diluted eclipsing binary. The dotted curve shows the signal expected for a $1 M_{\mathrm{J}}$ transiting companion.

SRa01_E2_1346: This candidate shows $0.9 \%$-deep Vshaped transits lasting 4.5 hours with a period of 2.7 days. The transit is observed to be about twice as deep in red as in blue, which - together with the long duration - led to a priority 3 classification by the detection group. However, this candidate was given priority 2 for follow-up because of its relative brightness. The lightcurve is affected by hot pixels that inhibit a a detailed analysis of out of transit variations.

This candidate was observed with SOPHIE in December 2008. No peak was detected in the CCF, indicating an early type and/or very rapidly rotating star. However the transits are V-shaped and almost twice as deep in the red channel as in the blue channel (the difference is significant at the $6 \sigma$ level). This is thus most likely to be an eclipsing binary with orbital period equal to twice the photometric period.

SRa01_E1_3590: This candidate shows 1\%-deep transits lasting 3.7 hours with a period of 2.8 days. The light curve is relatively noisy and hence the transit shape is not very well determined: initial analysis with a trapezoidal model found it to be V-shaped. Together with the relatively long transit duration, this led to a priority 2 classification by the detection team, and the candidate was initially excluded from follow-up because of the faint host star. More detailed modelling with a full transit model later indicated that the transit was unlikely to be grazing, and the duration had been somewhat over estimated, so the detection ranking was revised to priority 1 , and the follow-up ranking to priority 2. However, no follow-up observations have yet been obtained for this candidate.

Two hour HARPS exposures was done on this target on 2011 February but no cross-correlation function could be detected indicating a early type star and/or a very rapidly rotating star.

SRa01_E2_4061: This candidate shows 3.7\%-deep, Ushaped transits lasting 4.5 hours with a period of 5.8 days. All other indicators being compatible with a planetary transit, this candidate was classified as priority 1 by the detection team. It was initially excluded from the follow-up because of the faint host star, and later given a priority 3 follow-up ranking (accounting for the fairly deep transits). No follow-up observations have yet been obtained for this candidate.

SRa01_E2_1968: This candidate shows 0.7\%-deep Vshaped transits lasting 2.5 hours with a period of 2.9 days. The transit shape could not be determined conclusively from the relatively noisy light curve, but is most likely V-shaped. Marginal detections of a secondary eclipse, of depth differences between odd- and
even-numbered transits, and of ellipsoidal variability led to a priority 2 classification by the detection group. A slightly lower priority of 3 was adopted for the followup, owing to the weak but multiple indicators of binarity.

SRa01_E1_2579: This candidate shows $2.2 \%$-deep Vshaped transits lasting 4.9 hours with a period of 5.7 days. Marginal detections of a secondary eclipse and of depth differences between odd- and even-numbered transits led to a priority 2 classification by the detection group. It was initially excluded from the follow-up because of the faint host star, and later given a priority 3 follow-up ranking (accounting for the weak but multiple indicators of binarity). No follow-up observations have yet been obtained for this candidate.

SRa01_E2_2457: This candidate shows 3\%-deep Vshaped transits lasting 3.2 hours with a period of 5.3 days. Marginal detection of depth differences between odd- and even-numbered transits - together with the long duration - led to a priority 3 classification by the detection group. It was initially excluded from the follow-up because of the faint host star, and later given a priority 3 follow-up ranking (accounting for the weak but multiple indicators of binarity). No follow-up observations have yet been obtained for this candidate.

SRa01_E2_2822: This candidate shows 1.5\%-deep Ushaped transits lasting 3.5 hours with a period of 2.4 days. The light curve shows some evidence for a secondary eclipse and ellipsoidal variability, which was initially deemed marginal $\cdots$ leading to a priority 3 classification by the detection group $\cdots$ but later confirmed by a more careful analysis. The final followup classification for this object was therefore priority 4 (indicating that no follow-up should be performed).

SRa01_E1_2618: This candidate shows 3.5\%-deep Ushaped transits lasting 5.7 hours with a period of 9.5 days. The transit shape is somewhat distorted, indicating a possible false alarm (caused by discontinuities in the light curve, themselves due to charged particles hitting the detector), leading to a priority 3 classification by the detection group. A more detailed examination of individual transits later confirmed that this object was indeed a false alarm. The final followup classification for this object was therefore priority 4 (indicating that no follow-up should be performed).

### 5.2 SRa02 candidates

SRa02_E2_0628: The candidate E2_0628 has transits of $0.4 \%$ depth lasting 3.4 hours every 4.395 days and
was detected during alarm mode. The transit is totally V-shaped, which is a strong indication for an eclipsing binary and deepest in blue with insignificant colour differences in the other two bands. The ingress and egress are slightly asymmetric, which might be caused by the noise in the lightcurve.

The target was observed with SOPHIE on 2008 December. The 3 radial velocity measurements do not phase with the transit ephemeris and are correlated with the bisector span (see Fig. 8) confirming a blend with a background eclipsing binary within the 3 arcsec of SOPHIE fiber acceptance.

SRa02_E2_0486: CoRoT detects $1.5 \%$ deep transits with a period of 15.14 days in E2_0486. The transits are U-shaped and show a duration of 7 hours and are deepest in blue. This candidate was detected during alarm mode. The host star is very bright $(R=12.9)$.

We obtained 4 SOPHIE measurements on 2008 December, 2009 February and November. The velocities, shown in Fig. 9, phase with the transit ephemeris assuming an eccentric orbit $(e=0.285)$ with semiamplitude of $12.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ which led to a transiting companion in the stellar mass domain with a mass ratio of 0.15 . The orbital eccentricity may explain the long duration of the transit appearing in the apastron. ONOFF photometry was performed on E2_0486 with the 1 -m Wise telescope on 2009 January 6 confirming the transit. The investigation of this candidate is ongoing to clarify the nature of the secondary.

SRa02_E2_0893: The candidate E2_0893 reveals a $2.0 \%$ deep transit every 2.65 days detected during alarm mode. Two measurements were performed with SOPHIE on 2008 December and 2009 February with radial velocities separated by about $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see in Fig. 10). Under the assumptions that the spectroscopic binary follows the CoRoT ephemeris and that the orbit is circular, it would correspond to a semi-amplitude $K$ of about $21.08 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a mass ratio of only 0.1 . This companion is then probably a very low mass star near the hydrogen-burning limit. We note that this scenario explains the ellipsoidal variation visible in the CoRoT lightcurve. This was confirmed by additional spectroscopy and radial velocity measurements performed at the McDonald observatory. By co-adding two spectra from the observation, the parameters for the central star were determined. The effective temperature of the host-star $T_{\text {eff }}=7375 \pm 125 \mathrm{~K}$ and a $\log g$ of 3.4 to 4.2 imply a spectral type F0 to A9 subgiant or dwarf under the assumption of solar metallicity. The precision of the values are limited by the low signal-to-noise ratio of only $15 \cdots 20$ at $5500 \AA$. Taking


Fig. 7 Phase folded RVs of SRa01_E1_1707 obtained with HARPS. The dotted curve corresponds to the signal expected for a Saturn-mass transiting companion.


Fig. 8 Bisector span versus radial velocity of SRa02_E2_0628 showing a correlation which reveals a diluted eclipsing binary.


Fig. 9 Phase folded radial velocity of SRa02_E2_0486 obtained with HARPS. The curve corresponds to the best Keplerian fit using the additional constraint of the transit ephemeris and reveals a low-mass star transiting companion.
into account the uncertainty of the $\log g$ the mass of the primary is in the range of $1.66 \ldots 3.3 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and the companion would have a mass between 0.18 and $0.30 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, which excludes a brown dwarf.

SRa02_E2_1065: E2_1065 candidate corresponds to the longest period ( 22.43 days) found in this short run SRa02. The two transits present a depth of $1.3 \%$, the first one being found in alarm mode. The transits are U-shaped and show a significant colour difference of $0.86 \%$, with the blue transits twice as deep as the red ones.

Three measurements were done with SOPHIE on 2008 December, 2009 January and February. The strong radial velocity variations may fit the transit ephemeris is we assume an eccentric orbit, which might also explain the long transit duration. With only 3 points and 4 parameters ( $e, \omega, \mathrm{~K}$ and V 0 ), there is not an unique orbital solution. We present in Fig. 11 a solution with $e=0.27$ and $\mathrm{K}=10.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ which led to a mass ratio of 0.14 . Few additional RV measurements will allow to constraint the parameters of this system.

SRa02_E2_0749: The candidate E2_0749 shows a short period transit signal ( 2.5 days) with a depth of $1.85 \%$ and a transit duration of 1.880 hours. It was detected during alarm mode. The transits are slightly deeper $(+0.61 \%)$ in red than in blue an very V -shaped which results in a high inclination of 80.87 deg . The lightcurve shows strong ellipsoidal variations indicating a massive companion.

The two SOPHIE measurements made in 2008 December reveal a low-mass stellar companion with a semi-amplitude $K$ of $31.17 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a mass ratio of 0.2 (see Fig. 12). This scenario is in agreement with the clear ellipsoidal variation seen in the lightcurve. The transit of E2_0749 was also observed with the 1-m Wise telescope on 2009 January 6 with duration and depth similar to the CoRoT lightcurve.

SRa02_E1_4106=CoRoT-15b: The candidate E1_4106, with a $0.743 \pm 0.025 \%$ deep transit and a 3.059 day period. The tranist is very U-shaped and has a duration of 3.8 hours. This was the highest priority candidate from the detection teams and for the follow-up.

It has been established as of brown dwarf origin by HARPS and HIRES between 2009 November and 2010 February. The data are presented and discussed by Bouchy et al. (2011). CoRoT-15b has a mass of $63.3 M_{\text {Jup }}$ and a radius of $1.12 R_{\text {Jup }}$ and orbits an F7V star. Additional observations designed to acquire higher quality spectra of the star would be highly desirable to improve the size determination of the host star and the brown dwarf.


Fig. 10 Phase folded radial velocity of SRa02_E2_0893 obtained with SOPHIE (red squares) and SANDIFORD (blue circles). The curve corresponds to the eccentric orbit fit and indicates a very low-mass star transiting companion.


Fig. 11 Phase folded radial velocity of SRa02_E2_1065 obtained with SOPHIE. The curve corresponds to one Keplerian solution using the constraint of the transit ephemeris and indicates a low-mass star transiting companion.


Fig. 12 Phase folded radial velocity of SRa02_E2_0749 obtained with SOPHIE. The curve corresponds to the circular orbit fit and indicates a low-mass star transiting companion.

SRa02_E1_3444: The lightcurve of this candidate shows a shallow transit ( $0.3 \%$ ) every 1.44 days. Like E1_4106 it was a very high priority candidate from the detection teams and the follow-up team. The transit is U-shaped and has a duration of 2.2 hours. The ingress and egress are asymmetric, which is hard to determine for shallow transits. The host star is very faint ( $R=15.2$ ) and slightly blue $(J-K=0.3)$.

Three HARPS measurements were made on this candidate on 2010 February. The wide CCF indicates a fast rotating star and the photon noise uncertainty on the radial velocity is at the level of $400 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. No RV variations were found on this target at this level which is not surprising considering that the expected signature of a Neptune like companion is only $10 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. E1_3444 was observed with the CFHT on 2010 February which permit to identify that the signal is coming from a contaminant star located at 20 arcsec. This contaminant star is furthermore an other CoRoT target - SRa02_E1_2540 - which was identified as a deep eclipsing binary. Thus the shallow transit observed by CoRoT on E1_3444 is caused by this background eclipsing binary.

SRa02_E1_1978: E1_1978 presents a $0.64 \%$ deep transit with a period of 2.5156 days. The transit is Ushaped with colour differences of $0.2 \%$ deeper in red than in green. The transit duration is almost 2 hours.

Two SOPHIE measurements made in 2009 November and 2010 October show a clear correlation between the radial velocity and the bisector span indicating a blend with a background eclipsing binary (see Fig. 13). A triple system can also explain this scenario.

SRa02_E2_1011: With a depth of only $0.096 \%$, E2_1011 is the shallowest transit of the SRa02 run. The transit with a periodicity of 1.3178 days has a duration of $1.834 \pm 0.19$ and is V-shaped. The transit signal was initially found in the red channel of the lightcurve only. In fact the transit signatures in blue and green are at the limit of the detection threshold.

This transiting candidate was first observed with SOPHIE. The two measurements made in 2009 November were unfortunately strongly contaminated by the diffused moon light. The two corrected radial velocity does not show variation at the level of $100 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Two measurements were made with HARPS on February 2011 at the expected extrema phases. No significant variation was detected at the level of $18 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Assuming a $1 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ star, we can excluded at $3 \sigma$ a companion with mass greater than $0.146 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Jup}}$. However with such a shallow transit, one may expect a transiting companion with a mass smaller than Neptune and hence a RV
semi-amplitude smaller than $10 \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. Such a candidate may be re-observed intensively with HARPS if the transit events are confirmed to be on the main stars and not in one of the close contaminants. The bright nearby source SRa02_E1_3249 is a pulsator and contaminates is uncertain. Investigating other possible sources for contamination we found SRa02_E1_0098, which lightcurve correlates with E2_1011.

No preparatory or follow-up imagery of this target exists; the psf of a 4mag fainter star (USNO-B1 09620098925) 9.3 arcsec SE of the target lies partially in the target aperture which makes it a potential source for a false positive.

SRa02_E2_4850: The $1.06 \%$ deep transit of E2_4850 was confirmed from the ground by both OGS on 2010 January and by CFHT on 2010 February. With a 2.06 days period, this hot Jupiter candidate transiting a very faint star $\left(m_{R}=15.8\right)$ will need significant observing time with the risk to not reach a sufficient level of accuracy in the parameter determination compared to the up-to-day known population of transiting hot Jupiter. The V-shaped signature of the transit is caused by the inclination of $70^{\circ}$ corresponding to a high impact factor of 0.89 .

SRa02_E2_4326: This faint candidate shows a transit with a depth of $0.476 \%$ every 2.887 days. With a Rmagnitude of 15.6 this candidate is too faint for any radial-velocity follow up. The lightcurve is very noisy causing an asymmetric transit shape. The shallow transits inhibit a precise determination of the shape and therefore the inclination.

SRa02_E1_5401: SRa02_E1_5401 shows a transit every 1.5038 days and was observed by the IAC80 in Oct 2009 and by the 1.2 m MONET telescope in Nov. 2010. Neither of these could verify the relatively deep transit of $2.2 \%$ which might be explained by an underestimate of the ephemeris error being larger than the one from the CoRoT lightcurve. The shape of the transit is slightly asymmetric showing a high level of activity in the egress. The spectral type of the host star is K6V. The lightcurve shows a possible secondary eclipse with a depth of 4.5 mmag at a $5 \sigma$ level. No spectroscopic observation is carried out as long as the source of the transit is undetermined.

SRa02_E2_4852: This candidate shows 1.68\%-deep Vshaped transits lasting 5.1 hours with a period of 4.817 days. The light curve shows some evidence for a secondary eclipse with a depth of 4 mmag at a $4 \sigma$ detection level, ranking this candidate to a priority 3
classification by the detection group. The final followup classification for this object was therefore priority 3.

SRa02_E1_4680: This candidate shows 0.7\%-deep Vshaped transits lasting 2.3 hours with a period of 0.57 days. The ingress and egress shapes are slightly different, which might be explained by the low signal-to-noise ratio. The light curve shows some evidence for a secondary eclipse at a $4 \sigma$ level, ellipsoidal variability and minor differences between odd and even transits. As a potential binary object this candidate was ranked priority 3 by the detection group and priority 3 for final follow-up classification for this object.

SRa02_E1_4465: This candidate shows 4.45\%-deep strong V-shaped transits lasting 1.960 hours with a period of 4.536 days. The host star is very faint $(R=15.8)$ and moderately blue $(J-K=0.5)$, in agreement with the spectral type of a F9 dwarf. The light curve shows some evidence for ellipsoidal variability leading to a priority 3 classification by the detection group. This fact and the object's faintness were the reason for priority 3 as a final follow-up classification for this object.

SRa02_E1_3400: This candidate shows 2.23\%-deep transits lasting 4.53 hours with a period of 2.9 days. The inclination of $83.58^{\circ}$ is derived from the fitted impact parameter of 0.55 . The host star is very faint ( $R=15.0$ ) and moderatly blue ( $J-K=0.3$ ), its spectral type is F4V. The light curve shows some evidence for ellipsoidal variability and there is a slight difference between odd and even transits. As a potential binary object, this candidate was given a priority 3 classification by the detection group and classified priority 3 for the follow-up.

SRa02_E2_4361: This candidate shows 4.9\%-deep transits lasting 3.6 hours with a period of 13.6 days. The detection group ranked this candidate as priority 3 , because of the transit depth indicating a stellar companion. The final follow-up classification for this object was priority 3 based on the faintness of the host star of 15.8 magnitudes in R-band.

SRa02_E1_5136: This candidate shows 1.27\%-deep transits lasting 2.6 hours with a period of 2.009 days. The impact parameter is 0.85 implying an inclination of $78.76^{\circ}$. The host star is very faint $(R=15.8)$ and moderately blue ( $J-K=0.5$ ), in agreement with the spectral type of a F6 dwarf. The light curve shows some evidence for a secondary eclipse, differences between odd and even transits and ellipsoidal variability, which led to a priority 3 classification by the detection
groups. The final follow-up classification for this object was priority 3 based on the faintness of the host star.

SRa02_E1_2903: This candidate shows 0.2\%-deep Vshaped transits lasting 1.9 hours with a period of 5.6 days. The host star is very faint $(R=15.2)$ and moderatly blue ( $J-K=0.5$ ), which is not in agreement with the given spectral type G3V. This candidate was classified as priority 3 by the detection group due to the faintness of the star and the shallow transits. The final follow-up classification for this object was therefore priority 3 .

## 6 Discussion / Conclusions

SRa01 was the first short observation run of CoRoT. 8190 stars were observed in total resulting in a quite limited number of 12 relevant planetary candidates. This run was penalised by the lack of photometry follow-up. One good candidate is E1_0770 in the domain of a Saturn like object (as CoRoT-8b or HD149026b) is still pending. Two candidates could be identified as a blend, three showed no CCF, leaving four candidates that received no follow-up observation due to the faintness of the host star and one false alarm.

In SRa02 10305 stars were observed from which 19 transiting candidates were identified, 10 of them receiving follow-up observations. The remaining 9 could not be observed due to the low magnitude $(<15)$ of the host stars. E1_3444 turned out to be a brown dwarf. Six candidates turned out to be eclipsing binaries including two background eclipsing binaries. One candidate could be clearly identified as a blend and a second one, E1_1011 showed no significant RV variation, which can be a possible blend.
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Fig. 13 Bisector span versus radial velocity of SRa02_E2_0628 showing a correlation which reveals a diluted eclipsing binary.
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Table 3 SRa01 eclipsing binary candidates. Period 0.0 denotes monotransits

| CoRoTID | WinID | right ascension (J2000.0) | declination (J2000.0) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ \mathrm{mag} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \\ \mathrm{mag} \end{gathered}$ | period days | epoch <br> HJD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depth } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 223923921 | E1_0726 | 99.437939 | +10.236565 | 11.994 | 11.561 | 0.0000 | 2454539.06653 | 0.8 |
| 223926956 | E1_1781 | 99.480273 | +09.515616 | 13.511 | 13.099 | 1.5737 | 2454534.40962 | 1.8 |
| 223929762 | E1_1877 | 99.519635 | +09.775863 | 13.509 | 13.002 | 13.9058 | 2454547.15958 | 5.4 |
| 223931925 | E2_3220 | 99.550456 | +07.988767 | 14.339 | 13.804 | 6.1408 | 2454539.31948 | 5.7 |
| 223935677 | E2_0924 | 99.601761 | +08.666375 | 12.554 | 12.025 | 0.9353 | 2454533.54347 | 2.0 |
| 223935942 | E2_1088 | 99.605378 | +08.081409 | 12.838 | 12.608 | 3.6333 | 2454533.89815 | 7.0 |
| 223937373 | E2_0776 | 99.624596 | +08.773969 | 12.272 | 11.856 | 1.0317 | 2454533.38410 | 11.2 |
| 223937598 | E2_0825 | 99.627082 | +08.756883 | 11.094 | 9.921 | 1.7355 | 2454534.24445 | 2.3 |
| 223939728 | E1_1113 | 99.654200 | +09.109582 | 12.088 | 11.641 | 11.2173 | 2454536.95874 | 23.0 |
| 223940732 | E2_3999 | 99.666635 | +08.246565 | 14.040 | 13.489 | 1.4064 | 2454533.75103 | 14.9 |
| 223941069 | E2_4150 | 99.670720 | +08.503760 | 14.572 | 14.015 | 1.4255 | 2454533.39340 | 3.6 |
| 223941278 | E1_1340 | 99.673803 | +10.219626 | 12.008 | 11.066 | 1.2578 | 2454533.88676 | 5.1 |
| 223941828 | E2_4553 | 99.681243 | +08.815768 | 11.987 | 11.562 | 2.0421 | 2454534.83373 | 7.9 |
| 223941881 | E2_1456 | 99.682342 | +08.294926 | 13.389 | 13.134 | 0.8855 | 2454533.71847 | 0.6 |
| 223942686 | E1_0360 | 99.693221 | +10.265366 | 10.802 | 10.194 | 3.8247 | 2454535.36652 | 7.8 |
| 223943482 | E1_2754 | 99.703798 | +09.832825 | 13.814 | 13.248 | 2.2467 | 2454534.87135 | 10.2 |
| 223944492 | E1_2613 | 99.717376 | +09.806249 | 13.600 | 13.013 | 0.8471 | 2454533.70382 | 1.3 |
| 223944684 | E1_0944 | 99.719652 | +09.775457 | 12.999 | 12.657 | 2.2773 | 2454533.60932 | 0.6 |
| 223945037 | E1_3325 | 99.724498 | +09.375216 | 14.321 | 13.647 | 6.2368 | 2454533.95056 | 2.7 |
| 223945374 | E2_1062 | 99.729248 | +07.739816 | 12.856 | 12.373 | 0.8953 | 2454534.15100 | 7.3 |
| 223945778 | E2_1169 | 99.735421 | +08.328819 | 13.720 | 13.227 | 2.8550 | 2454534.50511 | 1.0 |
| 223946171 | E1_0393 | 99.740261 | +09.935358 | 11.533 | 11.218 | 7.7132 | 2454536.38657 | 7.5 |
| 223946242 | E1_2416 | 99.741396 | +09.665241 | 13.191 | 12.375 | 1.2801 | 2454533.38862 | 11.4 |
| 223947472 | E2_2629 | 99.756834 | +07.773057 | 13.740 | 13.443 | 2.0261 | 2454535.27857 | 1.1 |
| 223947647 | E1_1280 | 99.759345 | +10.250665 | 12.668 | 12.213 | 1.4458 | 2454533.62531 | 0.4 |
| 223948253 | E1_3347 | 99.767199 | +09.787673 | 14.442 | 13.881 | 0.9216 | 2454534.13342 | 1.8 |
| 223948645 | E2_2258 | 99.772409 | +08.875686 | 13.782 | 13.159 | 2.3555 | 2454534.39714 | 1.1 |
| 223949716 | E2_0718 | 99.786730 | +08.514941 | 11.820 | 11.440 | 3.9182 | 2454535.30319 | 45.3 |
| 223950353 | E2_3609 | 99.795236 | +08.568054 | 14.088 | 13.555 | 1.0506 | 2454533.55604 | 17.0 |
| 223951052 | E2_0291 | 99.804414 | +07.936279 | 12.000 | 11.766 | 11.8082 | 2454535.07380 | 1.7 |
| 223951589 | E1_2103 | 99.811720 | +09.575235 | 14.098 | 13.796 | 7.3336 | 2454538.63988 | 5.4 |
| 223953972 | E2_2457 | 99.844536 | +07.647904 | 14.679 | 14.416 | 5.3560 | 2454534.95420 | 2.0 |
| 223954720 | E1_2677 | 99.854849 | +09.543918 | 13.728 | 13.239 | 2.8257 | 2454535.88574 | 16.6 |
| 223955448 | E2_3449 | 99.865202 | +07.874002 | 13.897 | 13.401 | 12.7107 | 2454534.28623 | 29.1 |
| 223955882 | E1_1021 | 99.871303 | +09.710732 | 12.992 | 12.237 | 1.0211 | 2454533.93664 | 15.3 |
| 223956278 | E2_3734 | 99.876722 | +08.600704 | 13.884 | 13.315 | 1.0803 | 2454533.76582 | 6.4 |
| 223956532 | E2_0389 | 99.880363 | +08.165193 | 11.680 | 11.275 | 1.4427 | 2454533.39325 | 20.8 |
| 223956789 | E2_2995 | 99.884098 | +08.567060 | 13.630 | 13.161 | 0.8130 | 2454533.70940 | 26.2 |
| 223956963 | E1_1600 | 99.886528 | +09.070493 | 13.018 | 11.743 | 13.0875 | 2454543.78438 | 6.9 |
| 223957597 | E2_3238 | 99.895394 | +08.495312 | 13.992 | 13.599 | 12.2442 | 2454538.78849 | 4.1 |
| 223958206 | E2_0126 | 99.904138 | +08.391648 | 10.662 | 10.581 | 1.0911 | 2454533.91404 | 3.1 |
| 223958210 | E1_0187 | 99.903850 | +09.263092 | 11.009 | 10.305 | 11.1216 | 2454539.33320 | 22.4 |
| 223958323 | E2_2890 | 99.905696 | +07.739878 | 14.109 | 13.861 | 2.6275 | 2454534.23125 | 35.9 |
| 223959623 | E2_3829 | 99.922699 | +08.844955 | 14.018 | 13.447 | 1.2497 | 2454534.18128 | 12.7 |
| 223960745 | E2_3263 | 99.939191 | +08.752823 | 12.874 | 11.834 | 1.3154 | 2454534.12405 | 2 |

Table 3-Continued

| CoRoTID | WinID | right ascension <br> (J2000.0) | declination (J2000.0) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ \mathrm{mag} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \\ \mathrm{mag} \end{gathered}$ | period days | epoch <br> HJD | Depth $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 223962863 | E2_2383 | 99.970196 | +08.659643 | 13.427 | 13.043 | 0.9749 | 2454533.87052 | 4.4 |
| 223963003 | E2_0915 | 99.972296 | +08.418068 | 12.039 | 11.440 | 7.8846 | 2454538.89514 | 38.6 |
| 223963114 | E2_4571 | 99.974003 | +08.421585 | 13.338 | 12.397 | 7.8935 | 2454538.88570 | 11.0 |
| 223963205 | E2_2430 | 99.974918 | +08.085771 | 14.015 | 13.772 | 1.5946 | 2454533.62738 | 1.9 |
| 223964763 | E1_0942 | 99.998533 | +09.586338 | 12.852 | 11.972 | 11.7088 | 2454544.19038 | 18.9 |
| 223966050 | E2_1471 | 100.017650 | +07.758658 | 12.358 | 11.648 | 12.2442 | 2454545.44157 | 5.5 |
| 223966330 | E2_3433 | 100.021532 | +08.265016 | 13.962 | 13.682 | 8.6071 | 2454534.61249 | 6.8 |
| 223966541 | E1_0254 | 100.024579 | +10.181335 | 10.536 | 10.209 | 14.0516 | 2454540.32093 | 19.7 |
| 223971548 | E1_0824 | 100.101832 | +09.327463 | 12.593 | 11.808 | 3.9137 | 2454533.70319 | 41.3 |
| 223971849 | E2_4407 | 100.106800 | +07.882738 | 14.612 | 14.101 | 12.1327 | 2454540.06865 | 12.4 |
| 223972894 | E2_3934 | 100.123474 | +08.522076 | 14.375 | 13.935 | 6.8044 | 2454538.78321 | 27.7 |
| 223973671 | E2_2338 | 100.136550 | +08.339511 | 13.622 | 13.274 | 5.4883 | 2454538.65728 | 7.0 |
| 223974019 | E2_0227 | 100.142005 | +08.577719 | 11.771 | 11.626 | 16.6508 | 2454549.68950 | 38.5 |
| 223974688 | E2_4052 | 100.153088 | +08.617470 | 14.732 | 14.258 | 1.4633 | 2454533.85750 | 7.2 |
| 223975234 | E2_1825 | 100.162242 | +08.342917 | 13.256 | 12.874 | 5.9809 | 2454534.90496 | 17.3 |
| 223976178 | E1_1632 | 100.178120 | +10.297546 | 12.791 | 12.250 | 6.6036 | 2454533.61765 | 23.0 |
| 223979111 | E2_0779 | 100.226609 | +07.872157 | 11.817 | 11.438 | 0.8235 | 2454533.91855 | 4.7 |
| 223979687 | E1_3334 | 100.236018 | +09.127923 | 13.376 | 12.438 | 6.6402 | 2454537.39253 | 6.2 |
| 223980235 | E2_4092 | 100.244558 | +08.284349 | 14.232 | 13.691 | 2.0261 | 2454533.65718 | 9.7 |
| 223982103 | E2_2516 | 100.275037 | +08.029663 | 13.165 | 12.832 | 1.2438 | 2454533.69541 | 12.3 |
| 223982297 | E2_2168 | 100.278459 | +08.914482 | 12.758 | 12.084 | 1.1294 | 2454534.41185 | 7.7 |
| 223984155 | E2_2021 | 100.308853 | +08.602862 | 13.360 | 13.053 | 1.3838 | 2454533.49189 | 6.5 |
| 223984461 | E2_3172 | 100.313361 | +08.612929 | 13.854 | 13.429 | 2.9042 | 2454533.42125 | 1.6 |
| 223986812 | E2_3616 | 100.351098 | +08.038252 | 13.934 | 13.456 | 2.1342 | 2454533.36992 | 14.8 |
| 223992193 | E1_1039 | 100.434277 | +09.417340 | 13.329 | 12.331 | 3.8676 | 2454536.77807 | 24.7 |
| 223993566 | E1_0802 | 100.454869 | +10.122078 | 11.596 | 10.994 | 1.1822 | 2454533.82720 | 3.6 |
| 223993696 | E2_3854 | 100.456283 | +08.723048 | 14.038 | 13.512 | 9.5989 | 2454534.48759 | 3.6 |
| 223993744 | E2_2020 | 100.456851 | +07.994453 | 13.505 | 13.169 | 6.6654 | 2454536.08291 | 12.2 |
| 223994191 | E1_3602 | 100.462870 | +09.179623 | 14.141 | 13.351 | 3.8343 | 2454535.50163 | 12.7 |
| 223998299 | E2_3973 | 100.520486 | +08.746130 | 13.767 | 13.163 | 14.6697 | 2454540.10004 | 2.5 |
| 223998341 | E1_1572 | 100.520972 | +09.089563 | 12.649 | 12.002 | 2.8762 | 2454535.09606 | 6.5 |
| 223999491 | E2_0162 | 100.536397 | +07.711919 | 11.640 | 11.517 | 1.5906 | 2454534.29191 | 8.8 |
| 223999763 | E2_3823 | 100.540288 | +08.459287 | 14.233 | 13.718 | 2.4735 | 2454533.74963 | 5.3 |
| 224000346 | E2_1370 | 100.548202 | +07.918493 | 13.041 | 12.715 | 13.7611 | 2454536.11259 | 4.9 |
| 224001237 | E1_1959 | 100.559803 | +10.102330 | 13.625 | 13.129 | 3.0679 | 2454535.76084 | 12.4 |
| 224003482 | E2_0461 | 100.590321 | +07.741018 | 11.682 | 11.320 | 1.1915 | 2454533.46867 | 0.2 |
| 224004282 | E2_4323 | 100.602224 | +08.755734 | 14.074 | 13.307 | 4.7594 | 2454534.42773 | 10.2 |
| 224005111 | E2_0224 | 100.613159 | +08.273859 | 11.180 | 10.886 | 2.3411 | 2454535.15592 | 2.6 |
| 224005929 | E2_1548 | 100.624220 | +08.613081 | 12.633 | 12.145 | 1.1695 | 2454533.44685 | 19.1 |
| 224007535 | E1_3190 | 100.646299 | +09.932430 | 14.579 | 13.951 | 0.8890 | 2454533.64547 | 12.5 |
| 224007715 | E2_3104 | 100.648516 | +08.414224 | 13.612 | 13.098 | 14.2009 | 2454538.74078 | 20.8 |
| 224009183 | E1_1408 | 100.668195 | +10.121798 | 13.076 | 12.794 | 3.5779 | 2454536.75218 | 18.8 |
| 224013042 | E1_0445 | 100.720943 | +09.904484 | 12.596 | 12.252 | 1.5154 | 2454534.11677 | 3.1 |
| 224015696 | E1_0517 | 100.756278 | +09.325040 | 12.022 | 11.631 | 13.2175 | 2454546.50848 | 2.7 |
| 224016908 | E2_4380 | 100.772724 | +07.937246 | 14.317 | 13.805 | 13.6205 | 2454546.91031 | 11.6 |

Table 3-Continued

| CoRoTID | WinID | right ascension <br> (J2000.0) | declination <br> $($ J2000.0) | J <br> mag | K <br> mag | period <br> days | epoch <br> HJD | Depth <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 224017268 | E2_4149 | 100.777409 | +07.712875 | 14.324 | 13.754 | 2.0588 | 2454534.60406 | 6.7 |
| 224017753 | E2_2601 | 100.783954 | +08.954572 | 12.973 | 12.311 | 2.1162 | 2454534.87337 | 13.2 |
| 224022502 | E2_4165 | 100.846337 | +08.121675 | 14.104 | 13.357 | 14.8331 | 2454536.89208 | 25.6 |
| 500007008 | E1_2986 | 100.155218 | +09.791592 | 8.409 | 7.495 | 1.8535 | 2454533.97652 | 3.5 |
| 500007022 | E1_0091 | 100.304329 | +09.458864 | 10.296 | 9.905 | 5.2134 | 2454535.67181 | 2.9 |
| 500007038 | E1_1379 | 100.152811 | +09.789592 | 9.647 | 8.593 | 4.1191 | 2454535.57111 | 0.4 |

Table 4 SRa02 eclipsing binary candidates. Period 0.0 denotes monotransits

| CoRoTID | WinID | right ascension (J2000.0) | declination (J2000.0) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ \mathrm{mag} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \\ \mathrm{mag} \end{gathered}$ | period days | epoch <br> HJD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depth } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221604723 | E2_0938 | 95.803912 | 5.354606 | 13.280 | 12.948 | 2.3052 | 2454752.20655 | 6.9 |
| 221613770 | E2_0749 | 95.947567 | 5.589050 | 12.333 | 12.017 | 2.1748 | 2454751.46581 | 1.7 |
| 221614718 | E2_1556 | 95.961912 | 5.230820 | 13.153 | 12.664 | 5.6889 | 2454756.61544 | 22.3 |
| 221616609 | E2_4064 | 95.991820 | 5.539970 | 14.395 | 13.750 | 0.0000 | 2454751.47174 | 0.2 |
| 221617602 | E2_0596 | 96.007300 | 5.246456 | 11.853 | 11.521 | 6.6667 | 2454757.21989 | 65.5 |
| 221620551 | E2_3413 | 96.053814 | 5.160009 | 14.266 | 14.064 | 3.1585 | 2454753.47470 | 14.1 |
| 221621994 | E2_2858 | 96.076303 | 5.665720 | 14.343 | 14.114 | 1.3156 | 2454751.73840 | 2.7 |
| 221625883 | E2_0467 | 96.136306 | 5.082931 | 12.000 | 11.632 | 1.5763 | 2454751.27026 | 0.9 |
| 221630265 | E2_2222 | 96.205809 | 6.171287 | 13.044 | 12.640 | 15.5615 | 2454760.99470 | 4.9 |
| 221630363 | E2_0272 | 96.207370 | 6.100906 | 11.317 | 11.037 | 6.0385 | 2454753.77692 | 42.4 |
| 221631316 | E2_3423 | 96.222356 | 4.963592 | 14.545 | 14.199 | 3.1822 | 2454751.88063 | 28.5 |
| 221632993 | E2_3741 | 96.248906 | 4.830356 | 14.239 | 13.748 | 0.8119 | 2454751.34137 | 33.3 |
| 221633267 | E2_2972 | 96.252870 | 4.836042 | 12.902 | 12.071 | 8.1244 | 2454756.21248 | 0.9 |
| 221634836 | E2_2372 | 96.277148 | 6.109628 | 13.800 | 13.251 | 1.9911 | 2454751.11618 | 4.0 |
| 221638409 | E2_4008 | 96.332370 | 5.473639 | 14.684 | 14.267 | 0.7170 | 2454751.67322 | 3.0 |
| 221638835 | E2_2035 | 96.338653 | 5.103673 | 13.454 | 13.045 | 15.0637 | 2454760.09989 | 6.1 |
| 221638842 | E1_2425 | 96.338762 | 6.612339 | 13.433 | 13.024 | 3.4607 | 2454752.26581 | 9.2 |
| 221639829 | E1_4755 | 96.354775 | 6.270700 | 14.561 | 14.066 | 15.3244 | 2454751.93396 | 10.5 |
| 221639870 | E1_3019 | 96.355514 | 6.365762 | 13.507 | 12.948 | 6.1748 | 2454754.57692 | 10.3 |
| 221640500 | E2_1292 | 96.365592 | 5.370667 | 12.486 | 12.223 | 6.3644 | 2454754.09692 | 9.3 |
| 221641502 | E1_2637 | 96.381167 | 6.722056 | 14.056 | 13.687 | 1.0193 | 2454751.68507 | 4.8 |
| 221641562 | E2_4625 | 96.381975 | 5.155678 | 14.703 | 14.207 | 2.8207 | 2454751.46581 | 21.0 |
| 221644003 | E1_0638 | 96.418481 | 6.558756 | 12.265 | 12.090 | 1.8015 | 2454752.59174 | 20.5 |
| 221646787 | E2_0326 | 96.459612 | 5.761406 | 11.628 | 11.451 | 3.2770 | 2454753.21396 | 24.2 |
| 221648754 | E1_3511 | 96.488481 | 6.799956 | 13.805 | 13.235 | 7.0281 | 2454756.73989 | 4.0 |
| 221649057 | E1_1989 | 96.492962 | 6.280320 | 13.362 | 13.056 | 11.5793 | 2454751.68507 | 13.4 |
| 221649991 | E2_2456 | 96.507173 | 4.925564 | 14.237 | 13.720 | 0.8948 | 2454751.92803 | 6.7 |
| 221650319 | E1_1028 | 96.512387 | 6.986570 | 12.660 | 12.466 | 2.2044 | 2454752.22433 | 11.7 |
| 221650584 | E2_4397 | 96.516623 | 5.333850 | 14.544 | 14.048 | 1.9556 | 2454751.50729 | 5.0 |
| 221652902 | E2_1697 | 96.552223 | 4.940339 | 12.810 | 12.287 | 0.5215 | 2454751.57248 | 0.6 |
| 221653759 | E2_3755 | 96.565145 | 5.778706 | 13.917 | 13.420 | 2.5481 | 2454751.52507 | 33.3 |
| 221654221 | E2_4187 | 96.572084 | 5.989073 | 14.334 | 13.823 | 5.2978 | 2454755.36507 | 25.6 |
| 221655934 | E2_0127 | 96.597650 | 5.196620 | 11.042 | 10.876 | 11.5141 | 2454757.87174 | 2.3 |
| 221656539 | E2_0486 | 96.606825 | 5.272670 | 11.622 | 11.222 | 15.1467 | 2454762.49989 | 1.8 |
| 221657201 | E1_0860 | 96.617481 | 6.877350 | 12.855 | 12.663 | 1.4815 | 2454752.27766 | 1.1 |
| 221658242 | E1_3532 | 96.634345 | 6.621595 | 13.782 | 13.303 | 10.0741 | 2454758.26285 | 27.5 |
| 221658260 | E1_2042 | 96.634778 | 6.782237 | 13.421 | 13.017 | 1.6770 | 2454751.90433 | 6.0 |
| 221658472 | E1_5484 | 96.637698 | 7.097706 | 14.387 | 14.054 | 9.1319 | 2454752.87618 | 4.2 |
| 221658715 | E1_4518 | 96.641381 | 6.436173 | 14.165 | 13.687 | 0.9422 | 2454752.02877 | 0.4 |
| 221661707 | E1_2494 | 96.689984 | 6.159034 | 14.120 | 13.808 | 2.1096 | 2454753.10137 | 7.9 |
| 221662783 | E2_2137 | 96.706845 | 5.462723 | 12.299 | 11.203 | 0.0000 | 2454751.13396 | 0.1 |
| 221663011 | E1_1353 | 96.710948 | 7.205987 | 12.973 | 12.530 | 10.5541 | 2454759.00359 | 25.6 |
| 221663848 | E1_2540 | 96.723981 | 7.269078 | 13.770 | 13.350 | 1.4459 | 2454752.33100 | 35.6 |
| 221664419 | E2_2069 | 96.734131 | 5.153028 | 12.859 | 12.356 | 1.2741 | 2454751.47174 | 41.4 |
| 221664856 | E2_2019 | 96.740773 | 4.952362 | 12.394 | 11.535 | 14.4770 | 2454757.67026 | 9.5 |

Table 4-Continued

| CoRoTID | WinID | right ascension <br> (J2000.0) | declination (J2000.0) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ \mathrm{mag} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \\ \mathrm{mag} \end{gathered}$ | period days | epoch HJD | Depth <br> \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221665163 | E2_3433 | 96.745325 | 5.908825 | 14.103 | 13.784 | 3.8459 | 2454751.33544 | 13.9 |
| 221665390 | E2_4671 | 96.749062 | 4.937575 | 14.544 | 13.506 | 2.4474 | 2454751.40063 | 32.1 |
| 221666127 | E1_4649 | 96.760973 | 6.571642 | 14.125 | 13.542 | 11.0519 | 2454754.20359 | 7.6 |
| 221668255 | E2_2435 | 96.797420 | 5.658575 | 13.900 | 13.274 | 0.8652 | 2454751.54877 | 15.6 |
| 221668809 | E1_4910 | 96.806792 | 6.104748 | 14.087 | 13.938 | 0.7230 | 2454751.79766 | 1.6 |
| 221671608 | E2_2294 | 96.854342 | 5.832670 | 13.309 | 12.816 | 7.0104 | 2454753.92507 | 10.8 |
| 221673069 | E1_2089 | 96.880206 | 6.525839 | 13.484 | 13.087 | 5.9319 | 2454752.04063 | 37.7 |
| 221673572 | E1_5447 | 96.888662 | 6.040198 | 13.603 | 13.131 | 14.6726 | 2454756.04063 | 7.9 |
| 221674549 | E2_2775 | 96.905423 | 5.318670 | 13.369 | 12.740 | 12.9422 | 2454752.00507 | 7.2 |
| 221674977 | E1_5517 | 96.912737 | 7.152837 | 14.589 | 14.158 | 0.9422 | 2454751.38285 | 6.5 |
| 221675083 | E1_5061 | 96.914548 | 6.612731 | 14.432 | 13.961 | 7.2474 | 2454757.73544 | 8.1 |
| 221675115 | E2_0893 | 96.915298 | 5.266023 | 12.615 | 12.425 | 2.6489 | 2454751.76803 | 2.4 |
| 221677174 | E1_4719 | 96.951334 | 6.288725 | 14.029 | 13.583 | 2.0681 | 2454752.59766 | 20.7 |
| 221680104 | E1_1708 | 97.003287 | 6.449381 | 12.862 | 12.456 | 15.4015 | 2454759.02729 | 6.3 |
| 221680124 | E1_3773 | 97.003614 | 6.755114 | 14.061 | 13.626 | 2.1096 | 2454752.07026 | 7.3 |
| 221681035 | E1_2338 | 97.020214 | 6.589075 | 13.529 | 13.046 | 0.5452 | 2454751.29989 | 20.9 |
| 221681494 | E1_4606 | 97.029198 | 7.306550 | 14.003 | 13.537 | 1.8844 | 2454752.66285 | 2.2 |
| 221681609 | E1_5241 | 97.030987 | 7.079462 | 14.199 | 13.647 | 0.6519 | 2454751.33544 | 7.1 |
| 221683159 | E1_0192 | 97.058920 | 6.126248 | 9.728 | 8.878 | 29.0810 | 2454751.53692 | 34.0 |
| 221685038 | E2_0131 | 97.094675 | 5.215875 | 10.533 | 10.220 | 4.1778 | 2454754.25692 | 76.8 |
| 221686786 | E1_2677 | 97.127164 | 6.928748 | 13.922 | 13.250 | 0.7348 | 2454751.76803 | 3.5 |
| 221687871 | E1_3227 | 97.147509 | 6.644120 | 12.529 | 11.576 | 0.9956 | 2454751.90433 | 0.3 |
| 221689571 | E1_1395 | 97.181050 | 6.662462 | 12.724 | 12.392 | 1.9437 | 2454751.41248 | 2.4 |
| 221692716 | E1_0359 | 97.240123 | 6.799370 | 11.382 | 11.005 | 7.0104 | 2454752.52063 | 8.8 |
| 221693674 | E1_3588 | 97.259398 | 5.959087 | 12.629 | 11.530 | 14.8859 | 2454757.09544 | 3.6 |
| 221697417 | E1_4022 | 97.332934 | 6.853070 | 14.254 | 13.865 | 15.7867 | 2454755.72655 | 7.5 |
| 221699752 | E1_2384 | 97.378023 | 5.917495 | 13.286 | 12.672 | 11.4667 | 2454751.64359 | 2.0 |
| 221699985 | E1_1835 | 97.382523 | 6.878042 | 13.175 | 12.787 | 5.1437 | 2454751.85100 | 37.7 |
| 221702600 | E1_1444 | 97.431937 | 6.656681 | 12.951 | 12.613 | 5.8252 | 2454754.63618 | 9.2 |
| 221703104 | E1_4677 | 97.441742 | 6.501087 | 13.913 | 13.306 | 13.6119 | 2454764.46729 | 8.2 |
| 221703353 | E1_5254 | 97.446795 | 6.695225 | 14.567 | 14.224 | 0.5748 | 2454751.22285 | 20.9 |
| 221704769 | E1_0461 | 97.474284 | 6.055309 | 11.086 | 10.609 | 5.0133 | 2454755.22285 | 80.1 |
| 221707281 | E1_1244 | 97.521645 | 6.222806 | 11.784 | 10.902 | 2.1807 | 2454752.02285 | 38.7 |
| 221709981 | E1_4841 | 97.572509 | 6.432184 | 13.689 | 12.945 | 11.6089 | 2454759.34137 | 9.0 |
| 221711233 | E1_0961 | 97.596170 | 6.960789 | 12.808 | 12.621 | 9.1022 | 2454753.61100 | 3.6 |
| 221712632 | E1_3162 | 97.623542 | 6.503184 | 13.625 | 13.157 | 13.1141 | 2454755.76211 | 5.1 |
| 221712689 | E1_2305 | 97.624684 | 6.927673 | 13.191 | 12.845 | 8.7704 | 2454751.84507 | 4.6 |
| 221713272 | E1_2485 | 97.637209 | 6.748714 | 13.396 | 12.753 | 1.5467 | 2454751.82137 | 30.2 |
| 221718496 | E1_2137 | 97.746778 | 7.027739 | 13.527 | 13.283 | 5.9022 | 2454755.56063 | 12.4 |
| 221722856 | E1_4465 | 97.836287 | 6.918375 | 14.318 | 13.847 | 4.5333 | 2454753.32655 | 4.3 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://lamwws.oamp.fr/exodat/

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ http://idoc-corotn2-public.ias.u-psud.fr/

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ CoRoT crosses the Earth's radiation belts several times per day, making it particularly susceptible to charged particle impacts,

[^3]:    which can cause individual pixels to behave erratically and lead

