The University of Manchester Jodrell Bank

^{Observatory} E-MERLIN and EVN/e-VLBI Capabilities, Issues & Requirements

- e-MERLIN: capabilities, expectations, issues
- EVN/e-VLBI: capabilities, development
- Requirements
 - Achieving sensitivity
 - Dealing with bandwidth, wide fields, dynamic range

e-MERLIN

- Major upgrade to MERLIN
 - 7 antennas; 220km max baseline
 - 50 mas resolution at 5 GHz
- 4 GHz bandwidth (2x2GHz or 2+2 GHz)
- New optical fibre network installed
 30 Gb/s per tel
- New/upgraded receivers
 - 1.3-1.8 GHz, 4-8 GHz, 21-24 GHz
- New IF, samplers,...
- New correlator (DRAO)
 - Starting to commission now











The University of Manchester

MANCHESTER





e-MERLIN Capabilities

- uJy sensitivity in 12 hrs
- 10 150 mas resolution
- L (1.3-1.8 GHz), C (4-8 GHz) K (21-24 GHz) Tsys 25-40K Rapid change (1 min) between bands
 - But do not anticipate much fast switching
- 16 sub-bands
 - 0.25 MHz channels at all Stokes,
 - full bandwidth (128 MHz)
 - <kHz resolution; mix bandwidths</p>

e-MERLIN Science

Open Time + 'Legacy Programme'

- Stellar magnetic fields
- Massive star formation
- Stellar mass loss
- Pulsar astrometry
- Planet-forming disks
- YSO jets
- XRBs; transients
- Jet physics
- Galaxy substructure, environments
- Starformation & AGN in nearby galaxies
- Galaxy evolution

Proposals being evaluated now 3x oversubscribed; >300 scientists Programme to be put to Steering Committee Projects should remain open



e-MERLIN Expectations (eventually...)

- Straightforward to approach noise in 12-24hr observations of faint targets
 - Heroic efforts may be required for the very deepest integrations
- Lovell telescope easily incorporated
- Free wide-field images
- Free spectral information from wide band
- Mosaicing for surveys of few sq. degrees
- Significant improvement in image fidelity from multifrequency synthesis
 - At least 'VLA-quality' for full synthesis
 - Multi-snapshots as good as current full synthesis
- High dynamic range for sources containing bright features eg extragalactic jets
 - 10⁶:1 Problem appreciated
- Polarisation mapping across wide bands \rightarrow RM mapping
- Interest in using 4-8 GHz (single pol)
- (Improved astrometry)



e-MERLIN Issues

- Fractional bandwidth
 - $v_2 / v_1 \sim 1.3-2$
 - multi-frequency synthesis
- RFI ...
 - (populated areas, but well spaced telescopes)
- Range of telescopes
 - Lovell (76-m), Mk2 (24*30m; prime), Defford (25-m prime; offset feeds) 3 x E-sys (Cassegrain; on-axis; L-band lens); Cambridge (32-m Cassegrain on-axis)
- Very different primary beams on different baselines
 - Yet to characterise new horn/lens systems in detail, f(v)
- Sparse aperture coverage
 - (without MFS)
- Lack of short baselines
 - 20:1 (physical baseline ratio)

EVN/e-VLBI

- 1 Gb/s disk recording now routine with EVN
- ~1 Gb/s real-time demonstrated through EXPReS and will soon be routine
- > 1 Gb/s actively being pursued
- Large telescopes: Ef,JB,WSRT, (Ar) and more being added (Yb, SRT)
- Increasing number of telescopes available (China, Russia,...) increasing sensitivity and resolution







EVN Capabilities

- Few uJy sensitivity at 1 Gb/s in 12h
- 0.25s correlator dump time
 → 5 arcmin FOV
 (5000km baselines 1.4 GHz)
- Bandwidth expansion
 - DBBC, e-VLBI
 - 4 ... 10 Gb/s
 - New correlator, new IF
 - 4 Gb/s \rightarrow ~1 uJy/beam
 - Similar fractional bandwidth to e-MERLIN, EVLA at 1.5 GHz

Щ

MANCHE

See EVN2015 Document

- History of star-formation & accretion
- Gravitational lenses: substructure
- Physics of relativistic jets close to event horizon
- Molecular gas feeding AGN
- 3D kinematics of star-formation
- SNe, SNR, XRB, ULX in local group
- Fundamental astrometry; pulsars
- Spacecraft tracking



EVN/e-VLBI issues

- Wide range of telescope types and phased arrays
 - Telescope & feed geometries
 - Some need characterisation
- Data volumes for wide-field imaging
- Calibration & fringe-fitting
 - Uncorrelated atmospheres, independent clocks, a priori amplitude cal
- Model accountability for astrometry
- Evolving sources
- Can add/incorporate e-MERLIN for short baselines

- Achieving ~ uJy sensitivity on faint targets
 - (Assuming perfect correlator etc)
 - 'Confusing' sources:
 - L-band: ~20 sources > 1 mJy within 25-m beam
 - ~10 compact on longer baselines
 - Range of spectral indices
 - Need to be subtracted to 1-10,000:1
 - Ionosphere
 - Primary beam
 - » Different telescopes (Lovell, EVN)
 - Direction & frequency dependent calibration sub-band peeling
 - ~ 200 sub-mJy sources to be dealt with at 100-1000:1
 - Not bright enough to peel
 - use default/nearest/interpolated cal?
 - ? Brighter sources
 - C-band: ~1-2 mJy sources
 - Compact fraction ~ 30%

- Wide-band imaging $I'=I^*B_0 + I\alpha^*B_1 + ...$ Artefacts at $\Delta\alpha/100$ Sault-Wieringa and extensions Range of flat & curved spectra for targets & confusing sources
- Wide-band calibration
 - Expect to work in sub-bands
 - Using in-beam/faint reference sources will require fitting across whole band
 - May want to fit for dispersive delay across sub-band
 - Cf Brunthaler



- Wide-field imaging
 - Expect this to be common: ~ TB data sets
 - Low resolution/reduced band search; subtraction
 - Faceted imaging
 - Image sizes, not just w-term
 - e-MERLIN: 72k x 72k
 - e-VLBI: ~200k x 200k
 - Imaging the full field?
 - Strategies for distributed processing

- High dynamic range (narrow field)
 - Calibration at that sky position
 - Frequency & time-dependent terms
 - Polarisation terms
 - Baseline-dependent terms
 - Imaging & deconvolution
 - Gridding
 - Weight-smoothing
 - Source fitting
- Image fidelity
 - Deconvolution should be significantly improved by wide band
 - Need MFS
 - Sensitive to wider range of scales
 - Multi-scale CLEAN
 - MEM,...





Summary

- Main issues for e-MERLIN and EVN/e-VLBI expected to be
 - Accurate subtraction of mJy sources towards edge of field requiring direction- and freq.-dependent cal
 - 1-10,000:1
 - Exacerbated by wide range of telescope beams and pointing performance
 - Wide-field imaging at 1000:1
 - Direction-dep cal across whole band?
 - Mosaicing with different telescope beams
 - Gaining benefit of full/improved aperture coverage through MFS for high DR imaging
 - High dynamic range on-source
 - Low-level freq. & time-dep effects
- Some of the tools already in place
 - Mix & match; Distributed processing in AIPS
 - Parallel wide-field imaging



MANCHESTER